

TYPO3.Surf - Bug #43366

Misleading error message if "expect" is not available

2012-11-28 00:09 - Mario Rimann

Status: Accepted	Start date: 2012-11-28
Priority: Should have	Due date:
Assignee:	% Done: 0%
Category:	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version:	
Description <p>I tried to deploy an application to a remote server and the process always failed with a bold red error message (the last line from the Shell output below) which is misleading.</p> <p>Instead of the shown error message, I'd have expected something like "Command line tool expect is not available on your system, please install it first."</p> <pre>./flow surf:deploy --verbose MyApp-staging Deploying MyApp-staging (20121127235530) Using workflow "Simple workflow" Stage initialize Node my-crazy-host Application MyApp Executing stage "initialize" on "my-crazy-host" for application MyApp my-crazy-host (MyApp) typo3.surf:createdirectories \$roosta: "test -d /var/www/myapp.ch/staging" > sh: 1: expect: not found Got exception "Deployment directory "/var/www/myapp.ch/staging" does not exist on node my-crazy-host" but rollback disabled. Stopping.</pre>	
Related issues: <p>Has duplicate TYPO3.Surf - Feature #58213: add test for commandline tool expect Closed 2014-04-26</p>	

History

#1 - 2013-07-07 16:03 - Irene Höppner

This would mean to analyse the return value of the command.
Surf isn't designed to do that.

I'm not very experienced in different *.nix systems, but I think the chances are good to have different answers for this problem on different systems/machines/shells. In that case analysing the return of a shell command would be very error prone.

As Surf provides you the return value of the shell command you should be able to analyse that yourselves :-).

#2 - 2013-07-09 08:07 - Mario Rimann

Sure, but then maybe the output of surf could be "optimized" in the following way:

Either

- don't make it bold and red (distracts from the real error message)
- accept that we don't know **what** exactly went wrong and show an error message like "Executing a command on the remote system failed, see the output above/below"

#3 - 2016-01-17 18:04 - Helmut Hummel

- Status changed from New to Accepted

Error message could be improved indeed here