

## TYPO3 Core - Feature #72908

### Backend: Repositioning the page after a button is used

2016-01-24 15:21 - Kurt Ludikovsky

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b> 2016-01-24
<b>Priority:</b> Should have	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b> Backend User Interface	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	<b>Complexity:</b>
<b>PHP Version:</b>	<b>Sprint Focus:</b>
<b>Tags:</b>	
<b>Description</b> Whenever one is going to activate a button, e.g. a hide/unhide of a content element, the page is updated and repositioned to the top of the page.  This requires that one has to scroll down to the content again. This is annoying.  <b>Desired state:</b>  Either there is no reload of the page when there is no necessity, or the page is repositioned to the position where the activated button was situated.  I have no idea, if and how this could be done, but I can imagine that this can be done by ajax or href's.	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to TYPO3 Core - Bug #70074: After saving tt_content, always jumps to top	<b>Closed</b> <b>2015-09-24</b>
Related to TYPO3 Core - Feature #75462: Retain scroll position after deleting...	<b>New</b> <b>2016-04-08</b>
Related to TYPO3 Core - Feature #78931: Use ajax in place of page refresh for...	<b>New</b> <b>2016-12-09</b>

### History

#### #1 - 2016-01-24 16:48 - Riccardo De Contardi

Can be considered the same issue as [#70074](#) ?

#### #2 - 2016-01-24 17:02 - Kurt Ludikovsky

I would consider this something related but not a duplicate.  
[#70074](#) talks about saving.

This is about e.g. just clicking the hide/unhide button from content elements in page view.

So both are about the jumping to the top-of-page everytime, but refer to different items.

#### #3 - 2018-01-20 11:27 - Riccardo De Contardi

- Related to Feature #78931: Use ajax in place of page refresh for more actions in the backend added

#### #4 - 2018-01-20 11:34 - Riccardo De Contardi

- Status changed from New to Closed

I think I can close this issue as a duplicate of [#78931](#); I've added a reference to this issue to keep track of it.

if you think that this is the wrong decision please reopen it or ping me.

Thank you.